

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 NEED AND PURPOSE FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	1-1
1.1 PROJECT INITIATION AND SCOPING.....	1-1
1.2 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND FUNDING.....	1-3
1.3 NEED FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT	1-3
1.3.1 Maintaining the Long-Term Operation of a US 181 Crossing of the Corpus Christi Ship Channel	1-3
1.3.2 Safety Risks Caused by Design Deficiencies	1-6
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	1-7
1.5 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	1-7
1.5.1 Provide the Transportation Infrastructure to Support Economic Opportunities in the Area	1-8
1.5.2 Consider the Connectivity of US 181 to the Local Roadway System and Address its Effect on Adjacent Neighborhoods	1-8
2.0 ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 PROCESS USED TO DEVELOP AND EVALUATE ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1.1 Development of Alternatives	2-1
2.1.2 Screening Criteria.....	2-2
2.1.3 Measures of Effectiveness	2-2
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES	2-4
2.2.1 Blue Alternative	2-4
2.2.2 Green Alternative.....	2-4
2.2.3 Red Alternative	2-4
2.2.4 Orange Alternative.....	2-4
2.2.5 Tunnel Alternative.....	2-5
2.2.6 West Alternative	2-5
2.2.7 Transportation System Management.....	2-5
2.2.8 No Build Alternative	2-5
2.3 ALTERNATIVES SCREENING SUMMARY	2-5
2.3.1 Evaluation With Respect to Project Need and Purpose.....	2-6
2.3.1.1 Maximize the Long-term Highway Operability of the US 181 Crossing of the Corpus Christi Ship Channel	2-6
2.3.1.2 Improve Safety for the Traveling Public, Including During Hurricane Evacuations	2-7
2.3.2 Summary of the Screening Results	2-9
2.4 RANGE OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	2-10
2.4.1 Description of the Reasonable Build Alternatives	2-10
2.4.1.1 Green Alternative	2-10
2.4.1.2 Red Alternative.....	2-11
2.4.1.3 Orange Alternative	2-12
2.4.1.4 West Alternative	2-12
2.4.2 Engineering Considerations	2-14
2.4.2.1 National and State Highway Design Standards	2-14
2.4.2.2 Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodations	2-14
2.4.2.3 Bridge Height – Navigational Air-Draft Clearance.....	2-15
2.4.2.4 Level of Service.....	2-16
2.4.2.5 Connectivity of US 181 to the Local Roadway System.....	2-16
2.4.3 Design Comparison Summary	2-17

2.5	EVALUATION OF THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE ALTERNATIVES MEET THE NEED AND PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	2-17
2.6	IMPACT COMPARISON SUMMARY BY ALTERNATIVE.....	2-19
2.7	SUMMARY OF THE LEAST OVERALL HARM ANALYSIS	2-25
2.7.1	Factors Related to Section 4(f).....	2-26
2.7.1.1	Ability to Mitigate Adverse Section 4(f) Impacts	2-26
2.7.1.2	Severity of Harm After Mitigation.....	2-26
2.7.1.3	Relative Significance of the Property	2-27
2.7.1.4	Views of Officials with Jurisdiction.....	2-27
2.7.2	Factors Not Related to Section 4(f).....	2-28
2.7.2.1	Degree to Which Alternatives Meet Need and Purpose and Objectives.....	2-28
2.7.2.2	Magnitude of Adverse Impacts After Mitigation	2-28
2.7.2.3	Comparison of Cost	2-28
2.8	IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-28
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT.....	3-1
3.1	LAND USE	3-1
3.1.1	History and Development Trends	3-1
3.1.2	Current Land Use Patterns.....	3-2
3.1.3	Local Land Use Plans and Policies	3-3
3.1.3.1	City of Corpus Christi.....	3-3
3.1.3.2	City of Portland.....	3-7
3.1.3.3	Corpus Christi Metropolitan Planning Organization	3-8
3.2	MODES OF TRANSPORTATION.....	3-10
3.2.1	Highways and Streets.....	3-10
3.2.2	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	3-10
3.2.3	Transit	3-11
3.2.4	Ports and Waterways.....	3-13
3.2.4.1	Port of Corpus Christi	3-13
3.2.4.2	Corpus Christi Bay and Ship Channel	3-14
3.2.4.3	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway	3-14
3.2.4.4	Nueces Bay	3-14
3.2.5	Railroads.....	3-15
3.3	PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES	3-16
3.3.1	City and County Services.....	3-16
3.3.1.1	City of Corpus Christi Services.....	3-16
3.3.1.2	HUD Properties.....	3-17
3.3.1.3	Nueces County Services	3-17
3.3.1.4	City of Portland Services	3-18
3.3.1.5	San Patricio County Services	3-18
3.3.2	Utilities	3-18
3.3.2.1	Residential Utilities.....	3-18
3.3.2.2	Stormwater	3-20
3.4	ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS.....	3-21
3.4.1	Regional Economy.....	3-21
3.4.2	Employment.....	3-22
3.4.3	Commuting Patterns	3-24
3.4.4	Study Area Business Activity	3-26
3.4.4.1	Military Sector	3-26

3.4.4.2 Medical and Health Industry	3-26
3.4.4.3 Port of Corpus Christi and Port Industries	3-27
3.5 COMMUNITY DEMOGRAPHICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	3-28
3.5.1 Study Area Characteristics	3-29
3.5.1.1 Regional Population Trends and Projections	3-29
3.5.1.2 Study Area Demographic Characteristics.....	3-30
3.5.1.3 Environmental Justice	3-33
3.5.1.4 Community Health Trends	3-39
3.5.1.5 Children's Health	3-43
3.5.2 Proposed Project Area Community Facilities and Resources	3-46
3.5.2.1 Parks and Recreational Facilities.....	3-46
3.5.2.2 Public Schools.....	3-49
3.5.2.3 Heath Care Facilities.....	3-51
3.5.3 Project Area Community Characteristics	3-52
3.5.3.1 North Beach	3-52
3.5.3.2 South Central.....	3-57
3.5.3.3 Northside.....	3-64
3.5.3.4 Westside.....	3-71
3.5.3.5 Refinery Row	3-77
3.5.3.6 Portland.....	3-81
3.6 AIR QUALITY	3-83
3.6.1 Air Quality Standards and Regulatory Setting	3-83
3.6.2 Local Monitoring Data.....	3-85
3.6.3 Regional Air Quality and Attainment Status	3-86
3.6.4 Mobile Source Air Toxics.....	3-87
3.7 TRAFFIC NOISE	3-89
3.7.1 Traffic Noise Regulations	3-89
3.7.2 Existing Noise Levels	3-90
3.8 WATER RESOURCES	3-92
3.8.1 Surface Water Resources	3-92
3.8.1.1 Regional Water Resource Issues and Trends	3-92
3.8.1.2 Nueces River and Delta	3-101
3.8.1.3 Nueces Bay	3-101
3.8.1.4 Corpus Christi Bay	3-103
3.8.1.5 Corpus Christi Inner Harbor	3-104
3.8.2 Groundwater Availability and Quality.....	3-105
3.8.2.1 Coastal Bend Region.....	3-105
3.8.2.2 Water Wells.....	3-105
3.8.3 Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	3-106
3.8.4 River and Harbors Act of 1899 and the General Bridge Act of 1946	3-107
3.8.5 Coastal Zone Management Program	3-108
3.8.6 Coastal Barrier Resources Act	3-109
3.8.7 State-owned Submerged Lands	3-109
3.9 FLOODPLAINS.....	3-110
3.9.1 Regulatory Overview.....	3-110
3.9.2 Floodplain Areas	3-110
3.10 WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S.	3-110
3.10.1 Regulatory Overview.....	3-111

3.10.1.1 Executive Order 11990.....	3-111
3.10.1.2 Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	3-111
3.10.2 Determination of Jurisdictional Areas	3-112
3.10.2.1 Tidal Waters of the U.S.	3-113
3.10.2.2 Tidal Fringe Wetlands.....	3-113
3.10.2.3 Tidally-Influenced Drainage Features	3-113
3.10.3 Section 401 Water Quality Certification	3-114
3.11 SOILS AND GEOLOGY.....	3-114
3.11.1 Physiography.....	3-114
3.11.2 Area Geology.....	3-114
3.11.3 Area Soil Types	3-115
3.11.4 Important Farmland.....	3-115
3.12 VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE	3-116
3.12.1 Vegetation.....	3-116
3.12.1.1 Regional Vegetation	3-116
3.12.1.2 Project Area Vegetation	3-116
3.12.2 Wildlife	3-119
3.12.2.1 Biotic Provinces	3-119
3.12.2.2 Area Wildlife.....	3-119
3.13 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	3-120
3.13.1 Regulatory Overview.....	3-120
3.13.1.1 Federal – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Regulatory Oversight	3-120
3.13.1.2 State – Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Regulatory Oversight.....	3-120
3.13.2 Potential Occurrences in Nueces and San Patricio Counties	3-120
3.13.2.1 Federally-listed Species.....	3-128
3.13.2.2 State-listed Species	3-132
3.13.3 Natural Diversity Database Information	3-133
3.13.4 Essential Fish Habitat	3-135
3.13.5 Migratory Bird Treaty Act	3-137
3.13.6 Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1958	3-138
3.13.7 Marine Mammal Protection Act	3-139
3.13.8 Executive Order 13112 on Invasive Species.....	3-139
3.13.9 Environmentally and Economically Beneficial Practices on Federal Landscaped Grounds.....	3-139
3.14 CULTURAL RESOURCES	3-140
3.14.1 Archeological Resources	3-140
3.14.2 Historic Resources.....	3-141
3.15 SECTION 4(F)	3-143
3.15.1 Section 4(f) Laws and Regulations	3-143
3.15.2 Description of Section 4(f) Properties.....	3-144
3.15.2.1 Publicly Owned Parks and Recreational Facilities	3-144
3.15.2.2 Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Lands.....	3-145
3.15.2.3 Historic Sites of National, State or Local Significance	3-146
3.16 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3-148
3.16.1 Sites of Potential Contamination Search	3-148
3.16.1.1 Review of Existing and Previous Land Use	3-148
3.16.2 Review of Regulatory Agency Databases and Files.....	3-150
3.16.2.1 Sites of Potential Contamination Discussion	3-151

3.16.2.2	Summary	3-160
3.16.3	Superfund Site.....	3-160
3.16.4	Oil/Gas Well and Pipeline Sites	3-161
3.16.5	Other Sites of Concern	3-162
3.16.5.1	Lead-based Paint	3-162
3.16.5.2	Leathers II	3-162
3.16.5.3	Hillcrest Community Environmental Investigation Area	3-163
3.16.5.4	Petroleum ASTs	3-164
3.16.5.5	Dredge Material Placement Area 1	3-164
3.17	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	3-165
3.17.1	Visual Character	3-165
3.17.2	Visual Quality	3-167
3.17.3	Viewer Exposure	3-171
3.17.4	Viewer Sensitivity.....	3-172
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	4-1
4.1	LAND USE IMPACTS.....	4-1
4.1.1	Land Use Impacts Related to Right of Way Acquisition	4-1
4.1.1.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-1
4.1.1.2	Green Alternative	4-2
4.1.1.3	Red Alternative (Preferred).....	4-2
4.1.1.4	Orange Alternative	4-3
4.1.1.5	West Alternative	4-3
4.1.1.6	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-4
4.1.2	Consistency and Compatibility with Local Land Uses	4-4
4.1.2.1	City of Corpus Christi Integrated Community Sustainability Plan	4-4
4.1.2.2	City of Corpus Christi Urban Transportation Plan	4-5
4.1.2.3	City of Corpus Christi Future Land Use Plan.....	4-5
4.1.2.4	City of Corpus Christi Strategic Parks and Recreation Master Plan	4-10
4.1.2.5	City of Portland Comprehensive Plan	4-11
4.1.2.6	Corpus Christi MPO – 2010-2035 MTP	4-11
4.1.2.7	Corpus Christi MPO – Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan	4-11
4.1.3	Summary of Land Use Impacts.....	4-12
4.2	IMPACTS RELATING TO MODES OF TRANSPORTATION.....	4-14
4.2.1	Highways and Streets.....	4-14
4.2.1.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-16
4.2.1.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-16
4.2.2	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	4-16
4.2.2.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-17
4.2.2.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-17
4.2.3	Transit	4-17
4.2.3.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-17
4.2.3.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-19
4.2.4	Ports and Waterways.....	4-19
4.2.4.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-20
4.2.4.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-21
4.2.5	Railroads.....	4-21
4.2.5.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-21
4.2.5.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-23

4.3 IMPACTS TO PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES	4-23
4.3.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-23
4.3.1.1 Impacts to City and County Services	4-23
4.3.1.2 Impacts to Public and Private Utilities	4-25
4.3.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-25
4.4 DISPLACEMENTS	4-26
4.4.1 Residential Displacements	4-26
4.4.1.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-27
4.4.1.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-30
4.4.2 Business Displacements	4-30
4.4.2.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-30
4.4.2.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-34
4.4.3 Other Displacements.....	4-35
4.5 ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS.....	4-36
4.5.1 Economic Impacts Related to Roadway Improvements and Alignment Location	4-36
4.5.1.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-36
4.5.1.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-38
4.5.2 Economic Impacts Related to Business Displacements and Employment Opportunities	4-39
4.5.2.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-39
4.5.2.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-44
4.5.3 Economic Impacts Related to Changes in Access	4-45
4.5.3.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-45
4.5.3.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-49
4.5.4 Economic Impacts Related to Major Employers	4-49
4.5.4.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-49
4.5.4.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-49
4.5.5 Economic Impacts to Related to Tax Revenues and Public Expenditures	4-49
4.5.5.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-49
4.5.5.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-50
4.5.6 Regional Economic Impacts from Construction.....	4-51
4.5.6.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-51
4.5.6.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-51
4.6 COMMUNITY IMPACTS	4-51
4.6.1 North Beach	4-52
4.6.1.1 Displacement Impacts	4-52
4.6.1.2 Mobility and Access Impacts.....	4-54
4.6.1.3 Physical Impacts	4-55
4.6.1.4 Social and Psychological Impacts	4-57
4.6.1.5 Impacts to Public Services	4-58
4.6.2 South Central	4-60
4.6.2.1 Displacement Impacts	4-60
4.6.2.2 Mobility and Access Impacts	4-62
4.6.2.3 Physical Impacts	4-65
4.6.2.4 Social and Psychological Impacts	4-66
4.6.2.5 Impacts to Public Services	4-67
4.6.3 Northside	4-69
4.6.3.1 Displacement Impacts	4-69

4.6.3.2	Mobility and Access Impacts	4-71
4.6.3.3	Physical Impacts	4-74
4.6.3.4	Social and Psychological Impacts	4-76
4.6.3.5	Impacts to Public Services	4-81
4.6.4	Westside.....	4-84
4.6.4.1	Displacement Impacts	4-84
4.6.4.2	Mobility and Access Impacts	4-84
4.6.4.3	Physical Impacts	4-86
4.6.4.4	Social and Psychological Impacts	4-87
4.6.4.5	Impacts to Public Services	4-88
4.6.5	Refinery Row	4-89
4.6.6	Portland.....	4-90
4.7	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4-91
4.7.1	Minority Populations and Low Income Populations	4-91
4.7.2	Coordination, Access to Information and Participation.....	4-92
4.7.3	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-93
4.7.3.1	Displacements and Relocation Impacts	4-93
4.7.3.2	Economic and Employment Impacts.....	4-94
4.7.3.3	Community Cohesion and Accessibility	4-95
4.7.3.4	Air Quality Impacts.....	4-98
4.7.3.5	Traffic Noise Impacts.....	4-98
4.7.3.6	Water Quality Impacts	4-98
4.7.3.7	Hazardous Materials Impacts.....	4-98
4.7.3.8	Visual and Aesthetic Impacts	4-98
4.7.3.9	Safety.....	4-99
4.7.3.10	Construction Impacts	4-99
4.7.3.11	Summary of Impacts	4-100
4.7.4	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-101
4.7.5	Measures to Avoid, Minimize and Mitigate Adverse Effects	4-101
4.7.5.1	Avoidance and Minimization Measures.....	4-101
4.7.5.2	Mitigation Measures	4-102
4.7.6	Summary and Conclusion.....	4-106
4.8	CHILDREN'S HEALTH.....	4-106
4.8.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-107
4.8.1.1	Traffic Noise	4-107
4.8.1.2	Air Quality.....	4-107
4.8.1.3	Physical or Chemical Exposures	4-108
4.8.1.4	Water Quality	4-109
4.8.1.5	Changes in Access	4-109
4.8.1.6	Parks and Recreational Facilities	4-109
4.8.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-110
4.9	AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	4-110
4.9.1	Conformity	4-110
4.9.2	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-110
4.9.2.1	Carbon Monoxide (CO) Traffic Air Quality Analysis	4-110
4.9.2.2	Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSAT)	4-111
4.9.2.3	Construction-Related Air Emissions	4-114
4.9.3	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-114

4.10 TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS	4-115
4.10.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-115
4.10.2 Predicted Noise Impact Contours	4-124
4.10.3 Evaluation of Noise Abatement Measures	4-125
4.10.3.1 Green Alternative Barrier Analysis.....	4-126
4.10.3.2 Red Alternative Barrier Analysis.....	4-131
4.10.3.3 Orange Alternative Barrier Analysis	4-135
4.10.3.4 West Alternative Barrier Analysis	4-139
4.10.3.5 Barrier Summary for Build Alternatives	4-142
4.10.4 Construction Noise Impacts.....	4-143
4.10.5 Summary	4-143
4.11 WATER RESOURCES IMPACTS	4-144
4.11.1 Potential Impacts to Water Supply and Availability	4-144
4.11.2 Potential Impacts on Impaired Surface Waters - Compliance with Clean Water Act Section 303(d)	4-145
4.11.2.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-145
4.11.2.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-149
4.11.2.3 Summary of Impacts to Impaired Surface Waters	4-150
4.11.3 Potential Impacts of Stormwater Runoff - Compliance with Clean Water Act Section 402	4-151
4.11.4 Potential Impacts to Ground Water.....	4-151
4.11.5 Texas Coastal Management Program	4-152
4.11.6 General Bridge Act and Rivers and Harbors Act	4-153
4.12 FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS	4-154
4.12.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-154
4.12.2 Effects of the No Build Alternative	4-156
4.13 IMPACTS TO WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S.	4-156
4.13.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-156
4.13.1.1 Clean Water Act Section 404.....	4-157
4.13.1.2 Clean Water Act Section 401.....	4-160
4.13.1.3 Executive Order 11990 Protection of Wetlands	4-161
4.13.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-161
4.14 FARMLAND IMPACTS	4-161
4.14.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-161
4.14.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-162
4.15 VEGETATION IMPACTS.....	4-162
4.15.1 Impacts of the Build Alternative	4-162
4.15.1.1 TxDOT/TPWD MOU/MOA Documentation	4-164
4.15.1.2 Executive Order 13112 on Invasive Species.....	4-167
4.15.1.3 Executive Memorandum on Beneficial Landscaping	4-167
4.15.2 Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-168
4.16 WILDLIFE IMPACTS INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	4-168
4.16.1 Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-168
4.16.1.1 Impacts to Wildlife Habitat	4-168
4.16.1.2 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-169
4.16.1.3 Essential Fish Habitat	4-177
4.16.1.4 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.....	4-178
4.16.1.5 Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.....	4-178

4.16.1.6	Marine Mammal Protection Act	4-178
4.16.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-179
4.17	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-179
4.17.1	Archeological Resources	4-179
4.17.1.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives	4-179
4.17.1.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-180
4.17.1.3	Section 106 Consultation: Archeological Resources	4-180
4.17.2	Historic Resources.....	4-181
4.17.2.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-181
4.17.2.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-182
4.17.2.3	Section 106 Consultation: Historic Resources.....	4-182
4.17.2.4	Mitigation for Historic Resources.....	4-183
4.18	SECTION 4(F)	4-183
4.18.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-183
4.18.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-183
4.19	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4-183
4.19.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-184
4.19.1.1	Oil/Gas Well and Pipeline Sites	4-185
4.19.1.2	Construction	4-185
4.19.1.3	Asbestos-Containing Materials	4-189
4.19.1.4	Petroleum Storage Tanks	4-189
4.19.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-189
4.20	IMPACTS TO VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	4-189
4.20.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-190
4.20.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-199
4.20.3	Summary of Visual and Aesthetic Impacts.....	4-200
4.21	ENERGY REQUIREMENTS	4-200
4.21.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-200
4.21.1.1	Short-Term Requirements.....	4-200
4.21.1.2	Long-Term Requirements.....	4-200
4.21.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative	4-201
4.21.3	Potential Conservation Measures.....	4-201
4.21.3.1	Short-Term Conservation Measures	4-201
4.21.3.2	Long-Term Conservation Measures	4-202
4.22	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	4-202
4.22.1	Transportation Impacts—Construction Phase.....	4-202
4.22.1.1	Green Alternative	4-203
4.22.1.2	Red Alternative (Preferred).....	4-203
4.22.1.3	Orange Alternative	4-203
4.22.1.4	West Alternative	4-203
4.22.2	Navigation Impacts—Construction Phase	4-204
4.22.3	Air Quality Impacts—Construction Phase.....	4-204
4.22.4	Noise Impacts—Construction Phase.....	4-204
4.22.5	Water Quality Impacts—Construction Phase	4-205
4.22.5.1	Potential Impacts of Construction Over the Upland Confined Placement Area.....	4-205
4.22.6	Natural Resources Impacts—Construction Phase	4-206
4.23	RELATIONSHIP OF LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES VS. LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	4-207
4.24	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	4-207

4.24.1	Impacts of the Build Alternatives.....	4-208
4.24.1.1	Land Use	4-208
4.24.1.2	Waters of the U.S., including Wetlands	4-208
4.24.1.3	Wildlife Habitat	4-208
4.24.1.4	Cultural Resources.....	4-208
4.24.1.5	Other Considerations	4-209
4.24.2	Impacts of the No Build Alternative.....	4-209
5.0	DRAFT SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION	5-1
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1	Background and Need and Purpose Overview	5-2
5.1.2	Summary of 4(f) Exceptions Relevant to This Project.....	5-2
5.2	DESCRIPTION OF SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES.....	5-3
5.2.1	Public Parks and Recreation Areas.....	5-4
5.2.1.1	T.C. Ayers Park.....	5-4
5.2.1.2	Lovenskiold Park.....	5-5
5.2.1.3	Oveal Williams Senior Center.....	5-7
5.2.2	Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Properties	5-7
5.2.3	Historic Sites.....	5-8
5.2.3.1	Corpus Christi Harbor Bridge System	5-8
5.2.3.2	San Antonio, Uvalde, and Gulf Railroad Depot (1101 North Tancahua Street).....	5-14
5.3	POTENTIAL USE OF SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES	5-15
5.3.1	Potential 4(f) Uses by the Build Alternatives	5-15
5.3.1.1	Public Parks and Recreation Areas	5-15
5.3.1.2	Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Lands.....	5-17
5.3.1.3	Historic Sites.....	5-17
5.3.2	Potential 4(f) Uses by the No-Build Alternative.....	5-17
5.4	AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES	5-17
5.4.1	History of Design Refinements.....	5-18
5.4.2	Macro-Scale Analysis.....	5-19
5.4.2.1	Historic Bridge Team Feasibility Analysis	5-20
5.4.2.2	Analysis of Alternatives to the Use of the Harbor Bridge System.....	5-21
5.4.2.3	Conclusion of Macro-Scale Analysis.....	5-28
5.4.3	Micro-scale Analysis.....	5-29
5.4.3.1	Public Parks and Recreation Areas	5-29
5.4.3.2	Rincon Channel Wetlands Interpretive Overlook	5-31
5.4.4	Conclusion: Results of the Prudent and Feasible Analysis.....	5-32
5.5	MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM.....	5-32
5.5.1	Public Parks and Recreation Areas.....	5-33
5.5.1.1	T.C. Ayers Park.....	5-33
5.5.1.2	Lovenskiold Park.....	5-34
5.5.1.3	Oveal Williams Senior Center.....	5-34
5.5.2	Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges—Rincon Channel Wetlands Interpretive Overlook	5-35
5.5.2.1	Design Modifications.....	5-35
5.5.2.2	Replacement of Function and Conceptual Mitigation	5-35
5.5.3	Historic Sites.....	5-35
5.5.3.1	Harbor Bridge System	5-35
5.5.3.2	SAU&G Depot.....	5-36
5.6	IDENTIFICATION OF THE ALTERNATIVE WITH THE LEAST OVERALL HARM	5-36

5.6.1	Purpose of the Least Overall Harm Analysis	5-36
5.6.2	Preliminary Assessment of Harm.....	5-37
5.6.2.1	Ability to Mitigate Adverse Impacts to Section 4(f) Properties	5-37
5.6.2.2	Relative Severity of Harm After Mitigation.....	5-38
5.6.2.3	Relative Significance of Each Property	5-41
5.6.2.4	Views of Officials with Jurisdiction.....	5-43
5.6.2.5	Degree to Which the Alternative Meets the Need and Purpose	5-44
5.6.2.6	Magnitude of Adverse Impacts to Non-Section 4(f) Resources After Mitigation	5-48
5.6.2.7	Construction Cost Comparison	5-57
5.6.3	Summary of Least Overall Harm Analysis	5-57
5.7	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	5-58
6.0	INDIRECT EFFECTS.....	6-1
6.1	SCOPING.....	6-2
6.1.1	Determining the Appropriate Methods and Level of Effort	6-2
6.1.2	Study Area Boundaries.....	6-3
6.1.3	Timeframe for Indirect Effects Analysis	6-4
6.1.4	Planning/Collaborative Judgment Process	6-4
6.1.4.1	Individual Land Use Panel Responses	6-5
6.1.4.2	Collaboration of Land Use Panel Viewpoints	6-7
6.2	IDENTIFICATION OF STUDY AREA GOALS AND TRENDS.....	6-8
6.2.1	Study Area Plans and Goals.....	6-8
6.2.1.1	Corpus Christi MPO's Metropolitan Transportation Plan 2010-2035.....	6-8
6.2.1.2	City of Corpus Christi's Integrated Community Sustainability Plan	6-9
6.2.1.3	City of Corpus Christi's Urban Transportation Plan	6-9
6.2.1.4	City of Corpus Christi's Future Land Use Plan	6-10
6.2.1.5	City of Corpus Christi's Strategic Parks and Recreation Master Plan.....	6-10
6.2.1.6	City of Portland's Comprehensive Plan	6-11
6.2.1.7	Port of Corpus Christi's Rail Master Plan	6-11
6.2.2	Study Area Trends	6-11
6.2.2.1	Population Trends	6-12
6.2.2.2	Employment Trends	6-12
6.2.2.3	Development Trends.....	6-13
6.3	INVENTORY OF NOTABLE FEATURES.....	6-15
6.4	IDENTIFICATION OF IMPACT-CAUSING ACTIVITIES.....	6-15
6.4.1	Modification of Regime—including Land Use, Vegetation, and Hydrology	6-16
6.4.2	Land Transformation and Construction	6-16
6.4.3	Resource Extraction, Processing, and Storage.....	6-16
6.4.4	Land Alteration, Erosion Control, and Fill	6-16
6.4.5	Resource Renewal.....	6-17
6.4.6	Changes in Traffic Patterns, Access Alteration and Travel Times	6-17
6.5	IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY SUBSTANTIAL EFFECTS FOR ANALYSIS.....	6-17
6.5.1	Encroachment-Alteration Effects.....	6-18
6.5.1.1	Socioeconomic Effects	6-18
6.5.1.2	Ecological Resources	6-22
6.5.2	Induced Growth Effects	6-24
6.5.2.1	Residential and Commercial Development.....	6-25
6.5.2.2	Industrial and Port-Related Development	6-28
6.5.3	Effects Related to Induced Growth.....	6-31

6.5.4	Screening for Further Analysis	6-31
6.6	ANALYSIS OF INDIRECT EFFECTS AND EVALUATION OF RESULTS.....	6-31
6.6.1	Encroachment-Alteration Effects.....	6-33
6.6.1.1	Economic Effects of Changes in Travel Patterns and Access	6-33
6.6.1.2	Effects to Community Cohesion.....	6-36
6.6.2	Evaluation of Analysis Results.....	6-40
6.7	ASSESSMENT OF CONSEQUENCES AND CONSIDERATION OF MITIGATION	6-41
6.7.1	Mitigation of Effects to Employment.....	6-41
6.7.2	Mitigation of Effects to Communities.....	6-42
7.0	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	7-1
7.1	IDENTIFY RESOURCES TO CONSIDER IN THE ANALYSIS	7-1
7.2	DEFINITION OF STUDY AREA AND TIMEFRAME FOR EACH AFFECTED RESOURCE	7-7
7.2.1	Air Quality RSA	7-7
7.2.2	Water RSA	7-7
7.2.3	Coastal RSA	7-8
7.2.4	Community RSA	7-8
7.2.5	Economic RSA.....	7-9
7.3	DEFINITION OF CURRENT STATUS/VIABILITY AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF EACH RESOURCE	7-9
7.3.1	Air Quality	7-9
7.3.1.1	Regional Air Quality Efforts	7-9
7.3.1.2	Mobile Source Air Toxics	7-10
7.3.2	Water Resources	7-16
7.3.2.1	Water Availability	7-16
7.3.2.2	Surface Water Quality	7-18
7.3.3	Coastal Resources	7-22
7.3.3.1	Wildlife Species of Concern.....	7-22
7.3.3.2	Habitat Conditions	7-23
7.3.4	Community Resources	7-24
7.3.4.1	Community Cohesion.....	7-24
7.3.4.2	Community Health	7-27
7.3.5	Economy.....	7-28
7.3.5.1	Overview of the Corpus Christi MSA.....	7-28
7.3.5.2	Tourism Industry	7-29
7.3.5.3	Port of Corpus Christi	7-30
7.4	IDENTIFICATION OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS THAT MAY CONTRIBUTE TO A CUMULATIVE IMPACT	7-34
7.5	IDENTIFICATION OF OTHER PAST, PRESENT AND REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE ACTIONS THAT MAY AFFECT RESOURCES	7-36
7.5.1	Channel Improvement Project.....	7-36
7.5.2	Joe Fulton International Trade Corridor	7-41
7.5.3	Nueces River Rail Yard	7-41
7.5.4	Naval Station Ingleside.....	7-41
7.5.5	La Quinta Trade Gateway Terminal	7-42
7.5.6	SEA District	7-42
7.6	ASSESS POTENTIAL CUMULATIVE EFFECTS TO EACH RESOURCE	7-43
7.6.1	Air Quality	7-43
7.6.1.1	Potential Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project Related to MSATs	7-44

7.6.1.2	Potential Effects of the Proposed Project Related to Greenhouse Gases	7-45
7.6.1.3	Potential Contribution of Other Actions to Cumulative Air Quality Effects.....	7-47
7.6.1.4	Summary of Potential Cumulative Effects on Air Quality	7-50
7.6.2	Water Resources	7-50
7.6.2.1	Sources of Potential Cumulative Impacts to Water Resources.....	7-50
7.6.2.2	Potential Cumulative Impacts to Water Resources	7-54
7.6.2.3	Cumulative Effects Summary	7-57
7.6.3	Coastal Resources	7-57
7.6.3.1	Changes in Water Quality.....	7-57
7.6.3.2	Impacts to Vegetation	7-59
7.6.4	Community Resources	7-61
7.6.4.1	Community Cohesion	7-61
7.6.4.2	Community Health	7-65
7.6.5	Economic Resources	7-66
7.6.5.1	Contribution of the Proposed Project to a Cumulative Effect on the Regional Economy.....	7-66
7.6.5.2	Economic Effects of the Port of Corpus Christi	7-67
7.6.5.3	Community Sustainability Plan Initiatives.....	7-69
7.7	REPORT THE RESULTS	7-69
7.8	ASSESS AND DISCUSS MITIGATION ISSUES FOR ALL ADVERSE EFFECTS.....	7-70
7.8.1	Mitigation of Potential Cumulative Effects to Air Quality	7-70
7.8.1.1	Rules and Regulations for Controlling Air Emissions.....	7-70
7.8.1.2	Efforts to Control Eagle Ford Shale-Related Air Pollution.....	7-73
7.8.1.3	Efforts to Control Maritime Pollution	7-73
7.8.1.4	Efforts to Control Refinery Emissions	7-73
7.8.2	Mitigation of Potential Cumulative Effects to Water Resources	7-74
7.8.3	Mitigation of Potential Cumulative Effects to Coastal Resources	7-75
7.8.4	Mitigation of Potential Cumulative Effects to Community Resources	7-75
7.8.5	Mitigation of Potential Cumulative Effects to the Economy	7-75
8.0	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....	8-1
8.1	NOTICE OF INTENT	8-1
8.2	PROJECT COORDINATION	8-2
8.2.1	Scoping Process.....	8-3
8.2.1.1	Public Scoping Meetings	8-5
8.2.1.2	Agency Scoping Meetings	8-7
8.2.2	Agency Coordination.....	8-8
8.2.2.1	Cooperating Agency Coordination	8-9
8.2.2.2	Resource Agency Coordination	8-9
8.2.3	Citizens Advisory Committee and Technical Advisory Committee	8-9
8.2.3.1	CAC Meetings	8-11
8.2.3.2	TAC Meetings	8-13
8.2.4	Neighborhood Meetings	8-15
8.2.5	Stakeholder Meetings	8-16
8.2.6	Public Meeting	8-17
8.3	ONGOING EFFORTS	8-18
8.3.1	Stakeholder Meetings	8-18
8.3.2	Newsletters	8-19
8.3.3	Project Website	8-19

8.4 NEXT STEPS	8-19
9.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS, ISSUES AND COMMITMENTS (INCLUDING MITIGATION).....	9-1
9.1 DESIGN COORDINATION REQUIREMENTS	9-1
9.2 DISPLACEMENTS	9-1
9.3 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	9-2
9.4 CHILDREN'S HEALTH.....	9-3
9.5 AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	9-4
9.6 TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS.....	9-4
9.7 WATER RESOURCES IMPACTS.....	9-4
9.8 WETLANDS AND WATERS OF THE U.S.	9-6
9.9 VEGETATION IMPACTS.....	9-6
9.10 WILDLIFE IMPACTS INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	9-7
9.10.1 Threatened and Endangered Species	9-7
9.10.2 Essential Fish Habitat	9-8
9.10.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act	9-9
9.10.4 Marine Mammal Protection Act	9-9
9.11 CULTURAL RESOURCES	9-9
9.11.1 Archeological Resources	9-9
9.11.2 Historic Resources.....	9-10
9.12 SECTION 4(F)	9-10
9.13 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	9-10
9.14 ENERGY REQUIREMENTS	9-11
9.15 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	9-11

REFERENCES**LIST OF PREPARERS****LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THE DRAFT EIS HAVE BEEN SENT****LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1-1	Measures of Effectiveness.....	2-3
Table 2.4-1	Design Comparison Summary	2-17
Table 2.6-1	Summary of Impact Comparison by Alternative	2-20
Table 2.7-1	Summary of Least Overall Harm Analysis.....	2-25
Table 2.8-1	Alternative Comparison Summary	2-29
Table 3.4-1	First Quarter 2013 Employment by Industry.....	3-21
Table 3.4-2	2012 Location Quotients	3-22
Table 3.4-3	Corpus Christi MSA Employment and Unemployment Trends, 2003-2013	3-22
Table 3.4-4	City of Corpus Christi Employment and Unemployment Trends, 2003-2012	3-23
Table 3.4-5	2010 Jobs Counts by Places Where Workers are Employed: Corpus Christi.....	3-24
Table 3.4-6	2010 Jobs Counts by Places Where Workers Live: Corpus Christi.....	3-25
Table 3.4-7	2011 Means of Transportation to Work For Workers 16 Years And Over	3-25
Table 3.4-8	2010 Travel Time To Work For Workers 16 Years And Over.....	3-25
Table 3.4-9	2011 Corpus Christi MSA Major Employers	3-26
Table 3.5-1	Regional Population Trends, 1970-2010	3-29

Table 3.5-2	Population Projections, 2010-2040	3-30
Table 3.5-3	Study Area 2010 Race and Ethnicity Characteristics	3-31
Table 3.5-4	Study Area 2011 Income Characteristics.....	3-31
Table 3.5-5	Study Area 2010 Age Distribution	3-32
Table 3.5-6	Study Area 2011 Employment Status.....	3-32
Table 3.5-7	Study Area 2011 Housing Characteristics.....	3-33
Table 3.5-8	City of Corpus Christi 2011Housing Characteristics	3-33
Table 3.5-9	Study Area 2011 Income Characteristics.....	3-34
Table 3.5-10	2010 Minority Populations	3-35
Table 3.5-11	2011 Limited English Proficiency	3-38
Table 3.5-12	County Health Rankings, 2012	3-39
Table 3.5-13	Children in Poverty 2011	3-44
Table 3.5-14	Percent of Children in Poverty by Age.....	3-44
Table 3.5-15	Children with Risk Factors	3-45
Table 3.6-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-84
Table 3.6-2	Nearest Active Ozone Air Monitoring Stations	3-86
Table 3.6-3	Local Air Monitoring Stations Ozone Data Summary 8-Hour Maximum Concentration (ppm)	3-86
Table 3.6-4	Projected National MSAT Emission Trends 2010-2050 for Vehicles Operating on Roadways Using EPA's MOVES2010b Model	3-88
Table 3.7-1	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-89
Table 3.7-2	Existing Ambient Noise Levels	3-91
Table 3.8-1	Texas Water Quality Assessment Results for Potentially Affected Water Bodies (1992–2012).....	3-95
Table 3.13-1	Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Potential Occurrence in Nueces and San Patricio Counties, Texas.....	3-121
Table 3.13-2	Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Natural Diversity Database Search Results.....	3-134
Table 3.13-3	Essential Fish Habitat in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	3-135
Table 3.13-4	Avian Species Identified During July and September 2012 and March 2013 Biological Field Visits	3-137
Table 3.14-1	NRHP–eligible or –listed resources in the APE	3-142
Table 3.16-1	Historical Topographic Map Review.....	3-148
Table 3.16-2	Aerial Photograph Review	3-149
Table 3.16-3	Sanborn Fire Insurance Map Review	3-149
Table 3.16-4	Federal Regulatory Database Search.....	3-152
Table 3.16-5	State/Local Regulatory Database Search	3-154
Table 3.16-6	Oil and Gas Wells within Proposed Alternative Rights of Way	3-162
Table 3.17-1	Anticipated Viewer Response to Landscape Changes	3-174
Table 4.1-1	Proposed Land Use Conversions by Build Alternative (in acres).....	4-1
Table 4.2-1	Changes in Entrance and Exit Ramps by Alternative.....	4-14
Table 4.4-1	Residential Displacements - Green Alternative.....	4-27
Table 4.4-2	Residential Displacements - Red Alternative	4-28
Table 4.4-3	Residential Displacements - Orange Alternative.....	4-29
Table 4.4-4	Residential Displacements - West Alternative	4-30
Table 4.4-5	Business Displacements - Green Alternative.....	4-31
Table 4.4-6	Business Displacements - Red Alternative	4-32
Table 4.4-7	Business Displacements - Orange Alternative.....	4-33
Table 4.4-8	Business Displacements - West Alternative	4-34

Table 4.4-9	Other Displacements	4-36
Table 4.5-1	Employment Effects Related to Business Displacements - Green Alternative.....	4-40
Table 4.5-2	Employment Effects Related to Business Displacements - Red Alternative	4-42
Table 4.5-3	Employment Effects Related to Business Displacements - Orange Alternative.....	4-43
Table 4.5-4	Employment Effects Related to Business Displacements - West Alternative	4-44
Table 4.5-5	Modeled Differences in Travel Time by Alternative.....	4-47
Table 4.5-6	Estimated Annual Loss of Tax Revenue by Alternative	4-50
Table 4.5-7	Preliminary Cost Estimates by Alternative (2013\$).....	4-50
Table 4.5-8	Direct Economic Effects of the Proposed Alternatives.....	4-51
Table 4.6-1	Summary of Community Impacts: North Beach	4-59
Table 4.6-2	Summary of Community Impacts: South Central	4-68
Table 4.6-3	Summary of Community Impacts: Northside	4-82
Table 4.6-4	Summary of Community Impacts: Westside	4-88
Table 4.7-1	Residential Displacements in Minority or Low-Income Areas by Alternative.....	4-94
Table 4.9-1	Project Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	4-111
Table 4.10-1	Existing and Predicted Traffic Noise Levels (dBA Leq).....	4-116
Table 4.10-2	Summary of Future (2032) Traffic Noise Impacts	4-123
Table 4.10-3	Year 2032 Predicted Noise Impact Contours	4-124
Table 4.10-4	Green Alternative Noise Barrier Proposal	4-131
Table 4.10-5	Red Alternative Noise Barrier Proposal.....	4-135
Table 4.10-6	Orange Alternative Noise Barrier Proposal	4-139
Table 4.10-7	West Alternative Noise Barrier Proposal.....	4-142
Table 4.10-8	Barrier Proposal Summary for Build Alternatives	4-142
Table 4.11-1	Right of Way Draining to Receiving Waters by Alternative.....	4-146
Table 4.11-2	Right of Way Draining to Nueces Bay by Alternative	4-147
Table 4.11-3	Right of Way Draining to Corpus Christi Bay by Alternative	4-147
Table 4.11-4	Right of Way Draining to the Inner Harbor by Alternative.....	4-149
Table 4.12-1	Floodplain Encroachments by Alternative	4-154
Table 4.13-1	Temporary and Permanent Impacts to Waters of the U.S. by Build Alternative	4-159
Table 4.15-1	Vegetation Impacts by Build Alternative	4-165
Table 4.16-1	Wildlife Habitat Impacts by Build Alternative (acres)	4-169
Table 4.16-2	Impacts to Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Potential Occurrence in Nueces and San Patricio Counties, Texas.....	4-169
Table 4.16-3	Potential Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	4-177
Table 4.17-1	Determination of Effect for NRHP-Eligible Historic Resources in the APE	4-181
Table 4.18-1	Use of Section 4(f) Property by Alternative.....	4-183
Table 4.19-1	Regulated Hazardous Materials Sites	4-186
Table 5.2-1	Summary of Section 4(f) Properties Used by the Proposed Project	5-3
Table 5.6-1	Relative Severity of Harm to Section 4(f) Properties After Mitigation.....	5-39
Table 5.6-2	Adverse Impacts to Non-Section 4(f) Properties.....	5-50
Table 5.6-3	Summary of Least Overall Harm Analysis.....	5-57
Table 6.1-1	Collaborative Judgment Land Use Panel Participants	6-4
Table 6.5-1	Indirect and Induced Economic Effects of the Proposed Build Alternatives.....	6-20
Table 6.5-2	Indirect and Induced Employment Effects of the Proposed Build Alternatives.....	6-21
Table 6.5-3	Potentially Substantial Indirect Effects	6-32
Table 6.6-1	Summary of Indirect Effects Analysis by Proposed Build Alternative	6-40
Table 7.1-1	Determination of Resources Included in Cumulative Effects Analysis	7-3
Table 7.2-1	Resource Study Area for Each Resource Considered in the Analysis	7-7

Table 7.3-1	Ambient Concentrations of MSATs in the Project Area from 2005 NATA Modeling	7-11
Table 7.3-2	Ambient Concentrations of Benzene and 1,3 Butadiene at Three TCEQ Air Monitoring Stations in the Project Area, showing Average Annual Concentrations and 1-hour Maximum Concentrations for 2006, 2010, 2011 and 2012	7-12
Table 7.3-3	Apportionment among Source Categories for Benzene and 1,3 Butadiene Emissions Inventories Used in Corpus Christi Air Toxics Modeling Studies.....	7-14
Table 7.5-1	Current and Proposed Transportation and Development Projects	7-37
Table 7.6-1	Direct, Indirect, and Induced Economic Effects of the Proposed Build Alternatives	7-66
Table 7.6-2	Direct, Indirect, and Induced Employment Effects of the Proposed Build Alternatives .	7-67
Table 7.7-1	Summary of Cumulative Effects	7-71
Table 8.2-1	Participating and Cooperating Agencies	8-3
Table 8.2-2	Neighborhood Meetings	8-16
Table 8.3-1	Stakeholder Meetings	8-19
Table 9.6-1	Noise Barrier Proposal.....	9-4

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Illustration 3.6-1	Projected National MSAT Emissions Trends 2010-2050 for Vehicles Operating on Roadways Using EPA's MOVES2010b Model	3-88
Illustration 3.17-1	Industrial/refinery uses bordering I-37.	3-166
Illustration 3.17-2	Looking northwest from McCaughan Park in downtown Corpus Christi.	3-166
Illustration 3.17-3	Looking northwest from the T-heads.....	3-167
Illustration 3.17-4	View of the Harbor Bridge from just north of the Texas State Aquarium.	3-168
Illustration 3.17-5	View of the Municipal Marina.....	3-169
Illustration 3.17-6	Looking west over the ship channel from the Harbor Bridge.	3-169
Illustration 3.17-7	Homes on Van Loan Avenue with CITGO refinery visible in background.....	3-170
Illustration 3.17-8	Looking northwest from Craig Street and Shoreline Boulevard.	3-170
Illustration 3.17-9	1959 view of construction of I-37 and US 181 looking east.....	3-171
Illustration 3.17-10	View of the Harbor Bridge from the Bay, looking west.	3-172
Illustration 3.17-11	View of the Art Museum of South Texas (left) and the Harbor Bridge from the Corpus Christi Bay, looking west	3-173
Illustration 3.17-12	Harbor Bridge with LED lights.	3-174
Illustration 4.20-1	Rendering of proposed Red Alternative in the vicinity of T.C. Ayers Park	3-193
Illustration 4.20-2	Rendering of proposed Red Alternative from the L-Head	3-194
Illustration 4.20-3	Rendering of proposed Orange Alternative from the Northside community.....	3-196
Illustration 4.20-4	Rendering of proposed Orange Alternative from the L-Head.....	3-197
Illustration 4.20-5	Rendering of proposed West Alternative from the Hillcrest neighborhood.....	3-198
Illustration 5.2-1	T.C. Ayers Park Born Learning trail sign.....	5-4
Illustration 5.2-2	T.C. Ayers Park playground equipment.....	5-5
Illustration 5.2-3	Lovenskiold Park sign	5-6
Illustration 5.2-4	Lovenskiold Park swing set.....	5-6
Illustration 5.2-5	Rincon Channel Wetland Interpretive Overlook	5-7

Illustration 5.2-6 Elevation of Corpus Christi Harbor Bridge, from 2010 rehabilitation project.....	5-9
Illustration 5.2-7 Elevation of Harbor Bridge truss spans, from 2010 rehabilitation project.	5-9
Illustration 5.2-8 US 181 over Burleson Street.	5-10
Illustration 5.2-9 US 181 Northbound Freeway Connector Bridge over US 181.	5-11
Illustration 5.2-10 US 181 Southbound Bridge over Belden Street.	5-11
Illustration 5.2-11 US 181 Southbound off-ramp Bridge over Spur 544.....	5-12
Illustration 5.2-12 US 181 Northbound on-ramp Bridge over Spur 544.....	5-13
Illustration 5.2-13 US 181 Northbound Bridge over Spur 544.....	5-14
Illustration 5.2-14 SAU&G Depot.	5-15
Illustration 7.3-1 Annual Average Benzene Concentrations at TCEQ and CCAP Air Toxics Monitoring Sites in Corpus Christi, Texas, 1998-2011.	7-13
Illustration 7.3-2 Port of Corpus Christi Cargo Tonnage Reports by Commodity, 2004–2013	7-33

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Figures

Figure S-1	Project Location Map
Figure 1.0-1	Project Location Map
Figure 2.1-1	Preliminary Build Alternatives Under Consideration
Figure 2.3-1	Reasonable Alternatives Under Consideration
Figure 2.4-1	Green Alternative
Figure 2.4-2	Red Alternative
Figure 2.4-3	Orange Alternative
Figure 2.4-4	West Alternative
Figure 2.8-1	Alternatives Screening Matrix
Figure 3.5-1	Community Study Areas
Figure 3.5-2	2011 Low Income Populations
Figure 3.5-3	2010 Minority Populations
Figure 3.5-4	Project Area Community Boundaries
Figure 3.5-5	North Beach Community
Figure 3.5-6	South Central Community
Figure 3.5-7	Northside Community
Figure 3.5-8	Westside Community
Figure 3.5-9	Refinery Row Community
Figure 3.5-10	Portland Community
Figure 3.6-1	Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 3.8-1	Project Area Water Features
Figure 3.8-2	Major and Minor Aquifers
Figure 3.8-3	Coastal Management Program Boundary
Figure 3.8-4	Coastal Barrier Resources Units
Figure 3.8-5	Submerged Lands
Figure 3.9-1	FEMA Floodplains
Figure 3.10-1	Potential Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.
Figure 3.10-2	Sensitive Environmental Features Including Waters of the U.S. – Index
Figure 3.10-3	Sensitive Environmental Features Including Waters of the U.S. – 1

- Figure 3.10-4 Sensitive Environmental Features Including Waters of the U.S. – 2
Figure 3.10-5 Sensitive Environmental Features Including Waters of the U.S. – 3
Figure 3.11-1 Geologic Formations
Figure 3.11-2 Soil Types
Figure 3.12-1 Vegetational Regions of Texas
Figure 3.12-2 Regional Vegetation Types
Figure 3.12-3 Project Area Vegetation
Figure 3.12-4 Project Area Vegetation Rincon Channel Area Detail
Figure 3.12-5 Biotic Provinces of Texas
Figure 3.13-1 Essential Fish Habitat
Figure 3.16-1 Hazardous Materials Sites Green Alternative
Figure 3.16-2 Hazardous Materials Sites Red Alternative
Figure 3.16-3 Hazardous Materials Sites Orange Alternative
Figure 3.16-4 Hazardous Materials Sites West Alternative
Figure 3.16-5 Pipelines and Oil & Gas Wells
Figure 3.17-1 Project Area Elevations
Figure 3.17-2 Proposed Green Alignment Viewshed Map
Figure 3.17-3 Proposed Red Alignment Viewshed Map
Figure 3.17-4 Proposed Orange Alignment Viewshed Map
Figure 3.17-5 Proposed West Alignment Viewshed Map
Figure 4.2-1 Existing Ramp Diagram
Figure 4.2-2 Green Alternative Ramp Diagram
Figure 4.2-3 Red Alternative Ramp Diagram
Figure 4.2-4 Orange Alternative Ramp Diagram
Figure 4.2-5 West Alternative Ramp Diagram
Figure 4.11-1 Project Area Drainage Basins
Figure 4.13-1 Sensitive Environmental Features
Figure 5.2-1 Section 4(f) Properties Requiring Use
Figure 5.3-1 Detail of Use of T.C. Ayers Park Red Alternative
Figure 5.3-2 Detail of Use of T.C. Ayers Park Orange Alternative
Figure 5.3-3 Detail of Use of Lovenskiold Park Green Alternative
Figure 5.3-4 Detail of Use of Lovenskiold Park Red Alternative
Figure 5.3-5 Detail of Use of Lovenskiold Park Orange Alternative
Figure 5.3-6 Detail of Use of Oveal Williams Senior Center Orange Alternative
Figure 5.3-7 Detail of Use of Rincon Channel Wetlands Interpretive Overlook West Alternative
Figure 5.3-8 Detail of Use of SAU&G Depot Green Alternative
Figure 5.4-1 Constraints at T.C. Ayers Park Red Alternative
Figure 5.4-2 Constraints at T.C. Ayers Park Orange Alternative
Figure 6.1-1 Area of Influence
Figure 6.2-1 Future Land Use Map
Figure 6.5-1 Critical Habitat for the Piping Plover within the AOI
Figure 7.2-1 Air Quality RSA
Figure 7.2-2 Water RSA
Figure 7.2-3 Coastal RSA
Figure 7.2-4 Community RSA
Figure 7.2-5 Economic RSA
Figure 7.3-1 2000 Census Geography
Figure 7.5-1 Existing and Proposed Transportation and Development Projects

- Figure 7.5-2 Existing and Proposed Transportation and Development Projects
Figure 7.5-3 Existing and Proposed Transportation and Development Projects
- Appendix B Agency Coordination**
Appendix C Transportation Planning Documents
Appendix D Typical Sections
Appendix E Land Use Plates
Appendix F Community Questionnaire
Appendix G Supplemental Water Quality Information
Appendix H Displacements Plates
Appendix I Noise Analysis Plates
Appendix J Land Use Panel Questionnaire and Responses
Appendix K Public Involvement Materials
Appendix L TxDOT Right of Way Acquisition and Relocation Assistance